UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,347	03/18/2009	Yardena Samuels	001107.00617	6442
22907 BANNER & W	7590 02/15/201 TTCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
1100 13th STR		GODDARD, LAURA B		
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/15/2011	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary    Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
LAURA B. GODDARD  1642  AURA B. GODDARD  1642  ASHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exercises the inempty os available used to be prostine of 30° CP 1.180°, the research was may reply be interest provided of the prostine of 30° CP 1.180°, the research was may are give be interest for the communication. Peruse to report with the core readment develot for regive in exercise date and the special color of 10° CP 1.180°, the research was reply and will expect the special color of 10° LS 1.50°, \$1.30°, and the special color of 10° CP 1.180°, and the special color of 1		10/591,347	SAMUELS ET AL.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication applears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensive from map be available under the powerize of 3 CPE1 13230, in new worth convex, may a reply the thing the distribution of the communication of 10 CPE1 1330, in new worth convex, may a reply the time the mailing date of this communication. Pallive to every which the set or decreased period or yearly will be stated, cause the sap ocional become AMADOPS (30 IS C4, 814) and the CPE1 13 C	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of from any be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a), in no went, however, may a grey be trindly filled.  Extensions of from the beautiful provided to the provided of 37 CFR 1.13(a), in no went, however, may a grey be trindly filled.  Extensions of from the provided provided to the provided of 37 CFR 1.13(a), in no went, however, may a grey be trindly filled.  Extensions of from the provided provided to the provided of the communication of the provided provided to the communication of the provided provided to the communication of the provided provided to the provided		LAURA B. GODDARD	1642			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Edeminator in the may be available under be provided and 37.0F1.13(a). In accreant, lowers, may a reply be threey lifed of the SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication and the SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  - Failists or way within the earl or electrical printed the revoluted printed the revoluted printed the revoluted printed the reply electron and apply and with excert SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. Philips or the Communication and the printed printed the printed printed the state of the communication.  - Failists or way within the earl or electron and the mailing date of the communication, even if sinely filed, may reduce any country printed that apply and the printed pr		pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 December 2010.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-65 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 31 August 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b) Some of None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) ★ This action is non-final.  3) ★ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ★ Claim(s) 1.65 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 31.65 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ★ Claim(s)	Status					
4)  Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-65 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 31 August 2006 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All  b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-65 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 August 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 August 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>31-65</u> is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	n from consideration.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 August 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Application Papers					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Attachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 August 2006 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Attachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/591,347 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The response filed on December 9, 2010 to the restriction requirement of November 9, 2010 has been received. Applicant has elected Group I, claims 1-30, and the species of PIK3CA helical domain, stool sample, substitution mutation, and mutation G1633A for examination. Because Applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out any errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP 818.03(a)). Claims 1-65 are pending. Claims 31-65 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner under 35 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to non-elected inventions. **ALL species have been rejoined** for examination. Claims 1-30 are currently under prosecution.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 states a method comprising the step of "determining a non-synonymouse, intragenic mutation in a PIK3CA coding sequence in the body sample." The claim is grammatically unclear because the claim does not indicate what is determined. Examiner suggests amending the claim to indicate that the *presence* of the mutation is determined, i.e., "determining *the presence of a* non-synonymouse, intragenic mutation.." Similarly, the conclusion of claim 1 should clarify

Application/Control Number: 10/591,347 Page 3

Art Unit: 1642

what was determined- presence or absence. Examiner suggests, for example, "identifying the human as likely to have cancer if a non-synonymous, intragenic mutation in PIK3CA coding sequence is *present* in the body sample."

## Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 13, and 17 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 and 13-23 of copending **Application No. 12/512,585**. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant and copending application are claiming common subject matter. The claims of both applications are drawn to assessing cancer in a blood or stool body sample from a cancer patient comprising determining the presence of a mutation in PIK3CA gene in the sample, determining that a normal sample does not have the mutation, wherein PIK3CA is a gene frequently mutated in human cancer but not normal tissue.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

4. **Conclusion:** No claim is allowed. The closest prior art appears to be US Patent 7,670,767, Shayesteh et al, filed August 1997. Shayesteh et al teach detecting PIK3CA gene amplification in biological samples for cancer diagnosis (col. 8, lines 16-21; col. 10, lines 9-22; Example 2). Shayesteh et al do not teach or suggest determining the

Art Unit: 1642

presence of a mutation in PIK3CA or identify cancer based on the presence of a mutation in PIK3CA.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAURA B. GODDARD whose telephone number is (571)272-8788. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Misook Yu can be reached on 571-272-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Laura B Goddard/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1642